

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

YOUNG LAWYERS BREAKFAST

- Robert Lande, Private Enforcement of Antirust Law in the United States: A Handbook, Introductory Chapter, Edward Elgar (2012).
- Stephanie A. Scharf & Roberta D. Liebenberg, First Chairs at Trial More Women Need Seats at the Table: A Research Report on the Participation of Women Lawyers as Lead Counsel and Trial Counsel in Litigation, American Bar Association (2015).
- Kennedy, A Cultural Pluralist Case for Affirmative Action in Legal Academia, 1990 Duke L.J. 705.
- Kevin Woodson, Race and Rapport: Homophily and Racial Disadvantage in Large Law Firms, 83 Fordham L. Rev. 2557 (2015).

SIX YEARS AFTER FTC v. Actavis: how courts have implemented the landmark decision and what lies ahead for generic delay cases

- Michael L. Fialkoff, <u>Pay-For-Delay Settlements in the Wake of Actavis</u>, 20 MICHIGAN TELECOMM. & TECH. L. REV. 523 (2014).
- Allison A. Schmitt, <u>Competition Ahead? The Legal Landscape for Reverse Payment Settlements After Federal Trade Commission v. Actavis, Inc.</u>, 29 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 493 (2014).
- Audra J. Passinault, <u>A Prescription for the Future: Reverse-Payment Settlements in the Wake of FTC v. Actavis Pharmaceuticals</u>, 29 NOTRE DAME J.L. ETHICS & PUB. POL'Y 549 (2015).

SETTLING AN ANTITRUST CLASS ACTION—BEST PRACTICES, ETHICAL ISSUES, AND THE AMENDED RULE 23(E)

- Albert H. Choi & Kathryn E Spier, *Class Actions and Private Antitrust Litigation*, Virginia Law and Economics Research Paper No. 2019-01 (February 4, 2019).
- Christopher R. Leslie, <u>A Market-Based Approach to Coupon Settlements in Antitrust and Consumer Class Action Litigation</u>, 49 UCLA L. Rev. 991 (2001-2002).
- Eric Alan Isaacson, <u>A Real-World Perspective on Withdrawal of Objections to Class-Action</u>
 <u>Settlements and Attorneys' Fee Awards: Reflections on the Proposed Revisions to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(5)</u>, 10 ELON L. REV. 35 (2018).
- Francis E. McGovern, <u>The Negotiation Class: A Cooperative Approach to Class Actions Involving Large Stakeholders</u>, Duke Law School Public Law & Legal Theory Series No. 2019-41 (June 13, 2019).
- Andre Regard, *The Five Changes to Rule 23 Every Class Action Attorney Needs to Know*, American Bar Association (2019).

TAKING AND DEFENDING DEPOSITIONS OF ECONOMISTS IN PRIVATE ANTITRUST CASES: WHAT ARE THE GOALS AND HOW BEST TO ACCOMPLISH THEM?

- Rebecca Haw, <u>Adversarial Economics in Antitrust Litigation: Losing Academic Consensus in the Battle of the Experts</u>, 106 NORTHWESTERN U. L. REV. 1261(2012).
- John E. Lopatka & William H. Page, <u>Economic Authority and the Limits of Expertise in Antitrust Cases</u>, 90 Cornell L. Rev. 617 (2005).
- <u>Tips for Working with Economics Experts in Antitrust Cases</u>, Law360 (2017).
- Andrew E. Abere & Michelle A. Cleary, <u>Be an Expert in Working with Economic Experts</u>, ABA Antitrust Law (2014).
- David Scheffman & Mary Coleman, <u>FTC Perspectives on the Use of Econometric Analyses in Antitrust Cases</u>, FTC.

CAN PRIVATE LITIGATION ADDRESS DIGITAL DOMINANCE?

- Steve Williams & Jiamie Chen, 'Apple v. Pepper' Will Enhance Private Antitrust Enforcement by Confirming Bright-Line Rule of Illinois Brick', LAW.COM (2019).
- Harry First & Spencer Weber Waller, <u>Internet Markets and Algorithmic Competition: The Rest of the Story</u>, Law & Economics Research Paper Series Working Paper No. 18-26 (June 2018).
- Herbert Hovenkamp, <u>Apple v. Pepper: Rationalizing Antitrust's Indirect Purchaser Rule</u>, U of Penn, Inst for Law & Econ Research Paper No. 19-27 (2019).
- Susanne Johnson et al., *Future of Antitrust Class Actions Foreshadowed in Apple Inc. v. Pepper*, FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS (May 24, 2019).